



1000210



Brian Stewart  
<BSSStewart@mactec.com>

To Jim Christiansen/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc Gregory Parana <GPParana@mactec.com>  
Subject Re Libby RI rationale

03/08/02 07 50 AM

Jim

Greg Parana asked me to take a look at this and forward any comments on to you. It looks to me to be a very reasonable and cost effective approach.

The only comment I had was about properties which formerly had zonolite insulation. You mention them in the "General Rationale" section but you do not include them in any of the "Possible Outcome" categories. I think that they would be included in one of the following two categories but it is not clear.

- 1) Considered to be a "Property with Zonolite"
- 2) Considered to be a Property without Zonolite no detectable asbestos outdoors but does have mining history or other reason to believe indoor dust may be contaminated"

Look forward to meeting you in Libby this summer

Stew

Brian S Stewart  
Pacific Environmental Services, Inc  
Senior Project Manager  
Phone 703 471 8383  
Fax 703 481 8296

>>> <Christiansen Jim@epamail.epa.gov> 03/07/02 04 30PM >>>

Hey folks. Attached are a couple documents that go together which describe the thought process for the remedial investigation (RI) sampling approach I've discussed to some degree with all of you. This isn't a stand alone document or meant to be any kind of final product, it's just a summary meant to solicit input and suggestions prior to CDM moving forward with a SAP. I wrote a brief lead in/explanation, then laid out specific screening steps, then possible scenarios we may encounter & decision points (both written and graphical). Many of the details in this document are glossed over, that doesn't mean we haven't considered them, it means we are still working on them or they weren't important for this. There is also plenty of judgement that goes into these questions which isn't reflected here, but I understand the complexity (I've also made the assumption that we will address ZAI). My intent is for everyone to read this carefully, then let me know if the approach makes sense, any changes you suggest, and anything to look for as we get into details (such as sampling and analysis details). I

don't need wording or grammatical review unless its something that affects substance, but I do need everyone to think carefully and understand this as it will shape the direction we go on Libby permanently, to the tune of over 3000 properties Tight schedule - please get me any comments or call me by March 19 Once we move past the conceptual, I need everyone to start thinking critically about their particular piece of the pie and how it will need to be modified to fit the approach

Send this to whom you need to get meaningful input, but please don't circulate it too much or let anyone get overly worked up - it is only a conceptual draft If you can't open something, call me and I'll fax it Call if you need clarification Contractors - if you are going to spend a little time on this, check with who you have to - consider this a request, not a direction Thanks Jim

(See attached file LibbyCSSscreeningsteps wpd)(See attached file CSSScreengraphical PRZ)